π -Complexation of Copper(I) with Allylacetone Oxime. Synthesis and Crystal Structure of the CuX \cdot C₃H₅-CH₂-C(CH₃)=N-OH (X = Cl or Br) Compounds

Ya. E. Filinchuk, M. G. Mys'kiv, and V. N. Davydov

Department of Chemistry, Franko State University, Kirila i Mefodiya 6, Lviv, 290005 Ukraine Received November 18, 1997

Abstract—Crystal complexes CuCl · AAO (**I**) and CuBr · AAO (**II**) (AAO = allylacetone oxime) were prepared by ac electrochemical synthesis from ethanol solutions of CuCl₂ · 2H₂O or CuBr₂ and AAO, and their crystal structures were determined [a DARCh diffractometer, MoK_{α} radiation, 1409 and 930 independent reflections with $F \ge 4\sigma(F)$, R = 0.048 and 0.037 for **I** and **II**, respectively]. The crystals of the isostructural complexes are monoclinic, space group $P2_1/a$, Z = 4. Unit cell parameters for **I** are a = 15.606(6), b = 7.782(4), c = 7.480(7) Å, $\beta = 111.46(5)^\circ$, V = 845(2) Å³; for **II** they are a = 16.014(5), b = 7.920(3), c = 7.504(2) Å, $\beta = 111.27(2)^\circ$, V =887(1) Å³. In both structures, the copper atom is simultaneously π - and σ -coordinated and has a trigonal-pyramidal environment constituted of the oxime nitrogen atom, a halogen atom and the C=C bond in the equatorial plane, and an apical halogen atom. The lengths of the coordinated C=C bonds are 1.35(1) (**I**) and 1.35(2) (**II**) Å.

Owing to the additional chelating groups, azomethines are widely used as complexones in the chemistry of d elements [1]. They are σ -coordinated to the metal atoms in the complexes. It was of interest to prepare complexes with the unsaturated azomethine ligands of various structure and to study the behavior of the C=C bond in the presence of the donor nitrogen atom of the >C=N-X group (X = R, OH, N=C, etc.). Our previous studies of the π -complexes of copper(I) chloride with N-allyl-2-furylaldimine and N-allylbenzaldimine have revealed the coordination abilities of the C=C bonds in the presence of the aldimine HC=N-R group [2]. To continue the studies on the π -complexation between copper(I) and unsaturated azomethines, we prepared crystals of the copper(I) chloride and bromide π -complexes with allylacetone oxime (AAO) of the equimolar compositions $CuCl \cdot C_3H_5-CH_2-C(CH_3)=N-OH$ (I) and $CuBr \cdot C_3H_5$ - CH_2 - $C(CH_3)$ =N-OH (II) and studied their crystal structures.

EXPERIMENTAL

The AAO ligand was synthesized by reacting allylacetone with hydroxylamine hydrochloride in an aqueous solution in the presence of sodium carbonate [3]. Compounds I and II were prepared as well-shaped single crystals by ac (U = 0.3 V) electrochemical reduction of Cu(II) to Cu(I) in ethanol solutions containing CuCl₂ \cdot 2H₂O or CuBr₂, respectively, and the ligand. The crystals of compound I were grown for 2 h as colorless airstable needles. The crystals of complex II of the same habit were formed upon cooling the solution to -3° C after one day of electrolysis. The structures were solved using the sets of diffraction data collected on a DARCh single-crystal diffractometer. The intensities of reflections were corrected for the Lorentz and polarization effects. Absorption correction was applied using the DIFABS program. The crystallographic and data collection parameters are listed in Table 1.

The structures were solved by the direct method; the O, N, C, and H atoms were determined from Fourier difference synthesis. All the non-hydrogen atoms were refined in the full-matrix anisotropic approximation (the hydrogen atoms were refined in the isotropic approximation) using the CSD program package [4].

The atomic coordinates and the thermal parameters for structures **I** and **II** are listed in Table 2, and the bond lengths, bond angles, and torsion angles are given in Table 3.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Isomorphous compounds **I** and **II** are typical molecular complexes, in which the copper atom has a trigonal-pyramidal environment constituted of the oxime nitrogen atom, a halogen atom and the C=C bond in the equatorial plane, and an apical halogen atom. Two copper(I) atoms and two halogen atoms form a planar parallelogram. The Cu–Cu distances in **I** and **II** are 3.319(5) and 3.395(3) Å, respectively. This way of arranging the inorganic fragment is quite typical for the copper halide π -complexes, e.g., for the CuCl · ally-lamine structure [5]. Owing to its extraordinary flexibility, the ligand molecule functions as a chelating π , σ -ligand and completes the trigonal pyramidal coordina-

Parameter	I	П
М	212.2	256.6
<i>F</i> (000)	432	504
Space group	$P2_1/a$	$P2_1/a$
<i>a</i> , Å	15.606(6)	16.014(5)
b, Å	7.782(4)	7.920(3)
<i>c</i> , Å	7.480(7)	7.504(2)
β, deg.	111.46(5)	111.27(2)
<i>V</i> , Å ³	845(2)	887(1)
Ζ	4	4
ρ (calcd.), g/cm ³	1.667(4)	1.922(2)
$\mu_{\mathrm{Mo}},\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$	29.24	72.60
Radiation	ΜοΚα	MoK_{lpha}
Diffractometer	DARCh	DARCh
Scan mode	θ/2θ	θ/2θ
$2\theta_{\text{max}}$, deg.	60	58
Number of reflections:		
measured	1522	1016
independent with $F \ge 4\sigma(F)$	1409	930
Number of refined parameters	135	135
R	0.048	0.037
R_w	0.063	0.043
Weighing scheme	$[\sigma(F_{\rm o})^2 + 0.0055 F_{\rm o}^2]^{-1}$	$[\sigma(F_{\rm o})^2 + 0.0020 F_{\rm o}^2]^{-1}$
GOOF	1.05	1.04

Table 1. Crystallographic and data collection parameters for I and II

tion sphere of the copper(I) atom by its C=C bond and nitrogen atom. With such a coordination mode, the allyl group occupies a near-cisoid position relative to the oxime group (Table 3), i.e., it takes the conformation similar to that of the allylthiourea (ATU) molecule in copper(I) complex the nitrate $[Cu(C_3H_5NHC(S)NH_2)(CH_3CN)]NO_3$ (III) [6]. In complexes I and II, the torsion angles C(2)C(3)C(4)C(5)are equal to 65.4° and 63.6°, respectively. The corresponding angles in **III** are equal to 66.9° and -63.4° for two independent ATU molecules that are also π,σ -chelated to copper(I). It is noteworthy that, unlike ATU, the AAO molecule, except for its allyl group, is almost coplanar with the copper atom. Thus, the structures of I and II are formed from the centrosymmetric Cu_2X_2 . 2AAO dimers (X = Cl or Br) linked together by the van der Waals forces and weak hydrogen bonds of the C-H…O type. A fragment of structure I is shown in the figure.

The coordination polyhedron of Cu(I) is not subjected to a strong trigonal distortion and is close to a tetrahedron, resulting in a substantial deviation of the copper atom from the plane of equatorial ligands (by 0.32and 0.38 Å for I and II, respectively) [7]. The orientation of the C=C bond is largely determined by the steric factors. The angles between the plane of equatorial ligands and the C=C bond are equal to 19.5° and 15.7° for **I** and **II**, respectively. Despite the efficient Cu–(C=C) interaction [the distances between Cu(I) and the midpoint of the C=C bond are 1.941(9) Å in **I** and 1.95(1) Å in **II**], the coordinated olefinic bond is slightly lengthened [1.35(1) Å in **I** and 1.35(2) Å in **II**], indicating that the (M \leftarrow L)_{σ} component markedly predominates over the (M \rightarrow L)_{π} component, which is quite natural in the presence of a donor nitrogen atom [7].

Because of the efficient Cu–N interaction, the copper atoms in the copper(I) chloride π -complexes with *N*-allyl-2-furylaldimine and *N*-allylbenzaldimine are coordinated separately in the π and σ modes [the Cu–N distances are 1.932(4) and 1.941(5) Å, respectively] [2]. In complexes I and II, the electronegative oxygen atom diminishes basicity of the oxime nitrogen [the Cu–N contacts of 2.007(7) Å in I and 2.004(8) Å in II are weaker], allowing simultaneous π - and σ -coordination of the copper atom.

The calculation of the atomic charges carried out with the HYPERCHEM program using a modified ver-

No. 10

1998

FILINCHUK et al.

Atom	x	у	z	$B, Å^2$
Cu	0.01518(4)	0.1858(1)	0.11641(8)	4.88(2)
	0.01924(7)	0.1857(1)	0.1211(2)	5.13(4)
Cl	-0.09315(8)	0.1319(2)	-0.1749(2)	4.65(3)
Br	-0.09688(5)	0.1331(1)	-0.1818(1)	4.70(3)
Ν	0.1060(3)	0.3153(5)	0.0350(5)	3.9(1)
	0.1080(4)	0.3155(8)	0.0437(9)	4.3(2)
0	0.0901(3)	0.3132(5)	-0.1611(5)	5.0(1)
	0.0947(4)	0.3146(8)	-0.1545(8)	5.5(2)
C(1)	-0.0206(4)	0.224(1)	0.3535(8)	6.0(2)
	-0.0208(6)	0.225(1)	0.352(1)	6.1(4)
C(2)	0.0706(5)	0.250(1)	0.4008(8)	6.4(2)
	0.0672(7)	0.259(2)	0.402(1)	7.9(4)
C(3)	0.1222(6)	0.410(1)	0.4182(8)	7.4(3)
	0.1238(9)	0.411(2)	0.4224(1)	9.6(5)
C(4)	0.1980(4)	0.421(1)	0.3445(8)	6.1(2)
	0.1959(5)	0.418(1)	0.351(1)	6.0(3)
C(5)	0.1750(3)	0.4046(7)	0.1328(7)	4.4(1)
	0.1750(5)	0.4034(9)	0.141(1)	4.4(3)
C(6)	0.2342(4)	0.4982(8)	0.0484(9)	5.9(2)
	0.2350(6)	0.489(1)	0.059(2)	6.8(4)
H(O)	0.047(3)	0.255(8)	-0.204(7)	5(1)
	0.064(4)	0.233(9)	-0.19(1)	6(2)
H(1.1)	-0.064(5)	0.318(8)	0.300(8)	6(1)
	-0.048(4)	0.333(8)	0.32(1)	4(2)
H(1.2)	-0.048(5)	0.13(1)	0.378(8)	9(2)
	-0.037(4)	0.09(1)	0.38(1)	6(2)
H(2.1)	0.130(7)	0.18(1)	0.47(1)	13(3)
	0.128(6)	0.20(1)	0.45(1)	9(3)
H(3.1)	0.153(6)	0.47(1)	0.54(1)	13(3)
	0.144(4)	0.456(8)	0.558(9)	4(1)
H(3.2)	0.041(4)	0.43(1)	0.329(8)	8(2)
	0.062(4)	0.439(9)	0.34(1)	6(2)
H(4.1)	0.237(4)	0.341(7)	0.398(7)	5(1)
	0.239(6)	0.35(1)	0.39(1)	10(3)
H(4.2)	0.234(4)	0.531(9)	0.432(7)	7(1)
	0.238(7)	0.50(1)	0.41(2)	14(4)
H(6.1)	0.235(7)	0.43(2)	-0.08(1)	16(3)
	0.231(6)	0.46(1)	-0.09(1)	10(3)
H(6.2)	0.228(5)	0.59(1)	0.050(9)	9(2)
	0.231(5)	0.591(9)	0.07(1)	6(2)
H(6.3)	0.287(7)	0.44(2)	0.13(1)	15(3)
	0.298(5)	0.47(1)	0.11(2)	12(3)

Table 2. Atomic coordinates* and thermal parameters** for structures I and II

* The atomic coordinates and thermal parameters in the upper line correspond to compound I and those in the lower line are for II.

** For non-hydrogen atoms, $B_{eq} = 1/3 \sum_{i} \sum_{j} B_{ij} a_i^* a_j^* \dot{a}_i \dot{a}_j$.

π -COMPLEXATION OF COPPER(I)

	<i>d</i> , Å			ω, deg.	
Bond*	Ι	II	– Angle*	I	II
Cu–X	2.255(7)	2.394(3)	XCuX'	96.9(2)	98.3(1)
Cu–X'	2.719(5)	2.778(4)	XCuN	99.4(3)	101.7(2)
Cu–N	2.007(7)	2.004(8)	XCum(12)	139.9(4)	135.4(4)
Cu–C(1)	2.066(9)	2.08(1)	X'CuN	100.4(3)	101.0(2)
Cu–C(2)	2.043(9)	2.05(1)	X'Cum(12)	99.1(3)	101.1(4)
Cu– <i>m</i> (12)	1.941(9) 1.95(NCum(12)	113.4(4)	113.3(4)
			C(1)CuC(2)	38.4(3)	38.1(4)
C(1)–C(2)	1.35(1)	1.35(2)	C(2)C(1)H(1.1)	121(4)	103(4)
C(1)–H(1.1)	0.98(7)	0.95(7)	C(2)C(1)H(1.2)	128(5)	115(4)
C(1)–H(1.2)	0.88(9)	1.13(8)	H(1.1)C(1)H(1.2)	112(6)	142(6)
C(2)–C(3)	1.46(1)	1.48(2)	C(1)C(2)C(3)	129.9(8)	137(1)
C(2)–H(2.1)	1.0(1)	1.0(1)	C(1)C(2)H(2.1)	138(6)	140(6)
			C(3)C(2)H(2.1)	91(6)	83(6)
C(3)–C(4)	1.48(1)	1.44(2)	C(2)C(3)C(4)	120.3(8)	122(1)
C(3)–H(3.1)	0.94(8)	1.02(6)	C(2)C(3)H(3.1)	123(6)	110(4)
			C(4)C(3)H(3.1)	97(6)	111(4)
C(3)–H(3.2)	1.20(7)	0.98(8)	C(2)C(3)H(3.2)	68(3)	71(5)
			C(4)C(3)H(3.2)	127(4)	122(5)
			H(3.1)C(3)H(3.2)	123(7)	115(6)
C(4)–C(5)	1.50(1)	1.49(1)	C(3)C(4)C(5)	118.3(7)	119.3(9)
C(4)–H(4.1)	0.86(6)	0.8(1)	C(3)C(4)H(4.1)	108(4)	122(7)
			C(5)C(4)H(4.1)	107(4)	98(7)
C(4)-H(4.2)	1.10(6)	0.9(1)	C(3)C(4)H(4.2)	97(3)	115(8)
			C(5)C(4)H(4.2)	125(3)	113(8)
			H(4.1)C(4)H(4.2)	100(5)	83(10)
C(5)–N	1.265(9)	1.26(1)	C(4)C(5)N	118.9(6)	117.9(8)
C(5)–C(6)	1.49(1)	1.48(1)	C(4)C(5)C(6)	117.5(6)	118.2(8)
			NC(5)C(6)	123.6(7)	123.9(8)
C(6)–H(6.1)	1.1(1)	1.2(1)	C(5)C(6)H(6.1)	109(6)	120(5)
C(6)–H(6.2)	0.71(8)	0.82(8)	C(5)C(6)H(6.2)	112(6)	109(6)
C(6)–H(6.3)	1.0(1)	1.0(1)	C(5)C(6)H(6.3)	90(7)	120(7)
			H(6.1)C(6)H(6.2)	123(9)	110(8)
			H(6.1)C(6)H(6.3)	94(9)	93(9)
			H(6.2)C(6)H(6.3)	124(9)	103(9)
N–O	1.395(8)	1.424(9)	NOH(O)	104(4)	101(5)
O–H(O)	0.78(6)	0.80(8)			
A	φ, deg.		A	φ, deg.	
Angle	I	II	Angle	I	II
CuNC(5)C(4)	-1.0	-0.4	C(3)C(4)C(5)N	29.3	30.9
CuNC(5)C(6)	-177.9	-178.8	C(3)C(4)C(5)C(6)	-149.7	-150.6
CuC(1)C(2)C(3)	-98.6	-99.2	C(4)C(5)NO	178.5	179.0
C(1)C(2)C(3)C(4)	138.7	135.9	C(6)C(5)NO	0.4	2.6
C(2)C(3)C(4)C(5)	65.4	63.6			

Table 3. Bond lengths, bond angles, and torsion angles in structures ${\bf I}$ and ${\bf II}$

* X corresponds to the Cl atom in structure I and to the Br atom in structure II, and m(12) is the midpoint of the multiple C(1)–C(2) bond.



Fragment of structure I.

sion of the iterative SCF MO LCAO method in the INDO approximation [8] shows that the charge on the copper atom in **I** is $-0.12\bar{e}$. Such a relatively large negative charge on the Cu atom in the molecular copper(I) chloride π -complexes (the charges on the copper atoms in the π -complexes with aldimines lie between $-0.01\bar{e}$ and $+0.03\bar{e}$) is due to the charge redistribution caused by the formation of the strong hydrogen bonds of the O–H…X type [in **I**: H…Cl 2.47(6) Å and OHCl angle

148.(5)°; in **II**: H…Br 2.71(7) Å and OHBr angle 135.(7)°]. The hydrogen H(O) atom in **I** bears a substantial positive charge of $+0.29\bar{e}$, while the chlorine atom bears a negative charge of $-0.48\bar{e}$; the charges on the chlorine atoms in the aldimino π -complexes are equal to $-0.33\bar{e}$. Owing to the $p-d_{\pi}$ Cu–Cl interaction in structure **I**, the charge on the copper atom is equal to $-0.12\bar{e}$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was supported by the International Science Foundation (ISSEP), grant no. GSU063034.

REFERENCES

- 1. Garnovskii, A.D., Koord. Khim., 1993, vol. 19, no. 5, p. 394.
- 2. Filinchuk, Ya.E. and Mys'kiv, M.G., *Koord. Khim.* (in press).
- 3. Weygand, C. and Hilgetag, G., *Organisch-chemische Experimentierkunst*, Leipzig: Johann Ambrosius Barth, 1964.
- Aksel'rud, L.G., Grin', Yu.N., Zavalii, P.Yu., et al., Paket programm dlya strukturnogo analiza kristallov CSD. Obshchee opisanie (CSD Program Package for Crystal Structure Analysis. General Description), Lviv: Lviv. Gos. Univ., 1990.
- 5. Fayad, Kh., Sobolev, A.N., and Mys'kiv, M.G., *Koord. Khim.*, 1991, vol. 17, no. 9, p. 1245.
- Filinchuk, Ya.E., Shollmeier, D., Oliinik, V.V., et al., Koord. Khim., 1996, vol. 22, no. 11, p. 870.
- Mys'kiv, M.G. and Oliinik, V.V., *Koord. Khim.*, 1995, vol. 21, no. 4, p. 290.
- 8. Bearden, D.W., *Comput. Software Rev.*, 1993, vol. 33, no. 3, p. 525.